# Flavanone Glycosides from Miconia trailii

Zhizhen Zhang,<sup>†</sup> Hala N. ElSohly,<sup>\*,†</sup> Xing-Cong Li,<sup>†</sup> Shabana I. Khan,<sup>†</sup> Sheldon E. Broedel, Jr.,<sup>‡</sup> Robert E. Raulli,<sup>‡</sup> Ronald L. Cihlar,<sup>§</sup> and Larry A. Walker<sup>\*,†,⊥</sup>

National Center for Natural Products Research, Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, Dorlin Pharmaceuticals, Baltimore, Maryland 21227, and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057

## Received September 6, 2002

Assay-guided fractionation of the ethanol extract of the twigs and leaves of *Miconia trailii* yielded two new flavanone glycosides, matteucinol 7-O- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (miconioside A, **1**) and farrerol 7-O- $\beta$ -D-apiofuranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (miconioside B, **2**), along with the known compounds matteucinol 7-O- $\beta$ -D-apiofuranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (**3**), matteucinol (**4**),  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\beta$ ,  $19\alpha$ -trihydroxyolean-12-ene-24, 28-dioic acid (bartogenic acid, **5**),  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\beta$ , 23-trihydroxyolean-12-ene-28-oic acid (arjunolic acid, **6**),  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ ,  $19\alpha$ , 23-tetrahydroxyurs-12-ene-28-oic acid (myrianthic acid, **7**), and stigmast-4-ene-3, 6-dione (**8**). The structures of **1**-**8** were elucidated by spectroscopic methods, including 2D NMR.

In the course of our screening program searching for fatty acid synthase inhibitors from higher plants,<sup>1</sup> an ethanol extract of a mixture of twigs and leaves of *Miconia trailii* Cogn. (Melastomataceae) was found to be active. *Miconia* is a large genus of 700 species of trees and shrubs native to tropical America and West Indies.<sup>2</sup> Previous phytochemical investigations of *Miconia* species have resulted in the isolation of triterpenes,<sup>3,4</sup> flavanones,<sup>5,6</sup> a quinone, and quinol compounds.<sup>7</sup> To our knowledge, no phytochemical work was reported on *M. trailii*.

Bioactivity-guided fractionation of the active ethanol extract of *M. trailii* yielded two new flavanone glycosides (1, 2) and six known compounds (3–8). We report herein the structure elucidation of the new flavanones and the biological evaluation of compounds 1-8.



## **Results and Discussion**

Six known compounds were identified as matteucinol 7-O- $\beta$ -D-apiofuranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (3),<sup>8</sup> matteucinol (4),<sup>9,10</sup> 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ ,19 $\alpha$ -trihydroxyolean-12-ene-24,28-dioic acid (bartogenic acid, 5),<sup>11,12</sup> 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ ,23-trihydroxyolean-

**Table 1.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds **1** and **2** (in CD<sub>3</sub>OD)<sup>*a*</sup>

С	1	2	С	1	2
aglycone			6-CH <sub>3</sub>	9.3	9.2
2	80.2	80.3	8-CH <sub>3</sub>	10.0	9.9
3	44.4	44.4	$4'-OCH_3$	55.9	
4	199.9	199.8	Glc		
5	159.9	160.0	1‴	105.1	105.4
6	113.2	113.1	2″	75.8	75.9
7	162.8	162.8	3″	78.3	78.2
8	112.1	112.2	4‴	71.8	71.9
9	159.4	159.5	5″	77.9	77.2
10	106.6	106.6	6″	69.3	68.8
1′	132.6	131.5	Ara/Api		
2'	129.0	129.1	1‴′′	104.7	111.1
3′	115.2	116.5	2‴	72.4	78.1
4'	161.5	159.1	3‴	74.2	80.6
5'	115.2	116.5	4‴	69.6	75.1
6'	129.0	129.1	5‴	66.8	66.1

 $^a$  The assignments were based upon DEPT, COSY, HMQC, and HMBC experiments.

12-ene-28-oic acid (arjunolic acid, **6**),<sup>13</sup>  $2\alpha$ , $3\alpha$ , $19\alpha$ ,23-tetrahydroxyurs-12-ene-28-oic acid (myrianthic acid, **7**),<sup>14</sup> and stigmast-4-ene-3,6-dione (**8**),<sup>15,16</sup> respectively, by comparison of their spectral data with published values. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for **5** are reported for the first time, although the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for its dimethyl ester and diacetyl derivative were previously reported.<sup>11,12</sup>

Compound 1 was isolated as an optically active yellowish solid. HRESIMS analysis established its molecular formula as C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>14</sub>, which is the same as **3**. It showed IR (3411 and 1633 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and UV absorptions (230 and 282 nm) characteristic of a flavanone. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1** showed signals due to two aromatic methyl groups at  $\delta$  2.12 (3H, s) and 2.11 (3H, s), a 4'-methoxyphenyl moiety at  $\delta$ 3.81 (3H, s), 6.94 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), and 7.42 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), and an ABX-system [ $\delta$  2.78 (1H, dd, J = 2.5, 17.7Hz), 3.12 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 17.7 Hz), and 5.37 (1H, dd, J = 2.5, 13.0 Hz)] corresponding to a C-ring of a flavanone. These data indicated that the flavanone moiety was matteucinol (4).6,8-10 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT-135 spectra showed 29 carbon signals (Table 1); 18 of these signals were identical to those reported for matteucinol (4).<sup>6,8-10</sup> The remaining 11 signals were indicative of the presence of one hexose and one pentose. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analyses suggested that 1 differed from 3 only in the sugar moiety.8

10.1021/np020429z CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 12/10/2002

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 662-915-7610. Fax: 662-915-7989. E-mail: helsohly@olemiss.edu. Tel: 662-915-1005. Fax: 662-915-1006. E-mail: lwalker@olemiss.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> National Center for Natural Products Research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Dorlin Pharmaceuticals.

<sup>§</sup> Georgetown University.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>perp}$  Department of Pharmacology, University of Mississippi.



Figure 1. HMBC correlations of 1 and 2.

Acid hydrolysis of 1 furnished matteucinol (4), glucose, and arabinose detected by co-TLC comparison with the authentic samples. The configuration of the anomeric carbons was defined as  $\beta$  for glucose and  $\alpha$  for arabinose from their coupling constants of 7.6 and 6.7 Hz, respectively.<sup>17</sup> As observed in the HMBC spectrum (Figure 1), the long-range correlations of H-6" ( $\delta$  3.70, 3.92) of glucose with C-1" ( $\delta$ 104.7) of arabinose and H-1<sup> $\prime\prime\prime$ </sup> ( $\delta$  4.12) of arabinose with C-6" ( $\delta$  69.3) of glucose established the linked position between the two sugar moieties as  $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - $\beta$ -D-glucopyranose. The glycosidation position was unambiguously determined by a three-bond correlation between the glucosyl anomeric proton H-1" ( $\delta$  4.72) and ring A C-7 ( $\delta$  162.8) using HMBC. The absolute configuration at C-2 of the aglycone was determined to be S on the basis of the strong negative Cotton effect at 289 nm observed in the CD spectrum of 1.18 On the basis of the above evidence, the structure of 1 was established as matteucinol 7-*O*- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, a new flavanone glycoside named miconioside A.

Compound 2 was obtained as a yellowish amorphous solid. Its UV, IR, and CD spectral data (Experimental Section) were similar to those of 3. HRESIMS of 2 displayed an ion at m/z 617.1879 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, corresponding to a molecular formula of  $C_{28}H_{34}O_{14}$ , 14 mass units less than 3. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of **2** with **3** indicated the absence of the methoxyl group ( $\delta$  3.81, 3H, s) in **3**. The sugar moieties were determined to be glucose and apiose from <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data. A  $\beta$ -Dapiofuranosyl moiety was recognized by the NMR signals of C-1<sup>'''</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  111.1) and H-1<sup>'''</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.93, d, J = 1.4 Hz), along with one oxyquaternary carbon at  $\delta$  80.6 (C-3"), two oxymethylenes at C-4  $^{\prime\prime\prime}$  ( $\delta_{C}$  75.1,  $\delta_{H}$  3.71 and 3.78, each 1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz) and C-5<sup>'''</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  66.1,  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.53, 2H, s), and one oxymethine at C-2<sup>'''</sup> ( $\delta$  <sub>C</sub> 78.1,  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 3.85, 1H, d, *J* = 1.4 Hz) (Tables 1 and 2). These data were in agreement with the reported values.<sup>19</sup> HMQC and HMBC correlations (Figure 1) allowed for the complete assignments of the proton and carbon signals of 2. Thus, the structure of 2 was established as farrerol 7-O- $\beta$ -D-apiofuranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, a new flavananone glycoside named miconioside B.

Compounds **1–8** were evaluated against fatty acid synthase (FAS) inhibition.<sup>1</sup> The results showed that only **1** had a weak inhibitory effect against FAS with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of >50  $\mu$ g/mL. Cerulenin was used as a positive control (IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.19  $\mu$ g/mL). We concluded that the observed activity in the crude ethanol extract (IC<sub>50</sub> of 90  $\mu$ g/mL) was probably attributable to the contribution of the nonselective inhibitory effects of unknown polyphenols or other compounds present.

### **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Procedures.** Melting points were measured on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point ap-

**Table 2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data ( $\delta$ ) for Compounds 1 and 2 (in CD<sub>3</sub>OD)

Н	1	2
aglycone		
2	5.37 (1H,dd, 2.5, 13.0 Hz)	5.40 (1H, m)
3	2.78 (1H, dd, 17.7/2.5 Hz),	2.81 (1H, dd, 17.2/2.5 Hz)
	3.12 (1H, dd, 17.7/13.0 Hz)	3.19 (1H, dd, 17.2/12.8 Hz)
2'	7.42 (1H, d, 8.6 Hz)	7.40 (1H, d, 8.4 Hz)
3′	6.94 (1H, d, 8.6 Hz)	6.87 (1H, d, 8.4 Hz)
5'	6.94 (1H, d, 8.6 Hz)	6.87 (1H, d, 8.4 Hz)
6′	7.42 (1H, d, 8.6 Hz)	7.40 (1H, d, 8.4 Hz)
6-CH <sub>3</sub>	2.12 (3H, s)	2.19 (3H, s)
8-CH <sub>3</sub>	2.11 (3H, s)	2.17 (3H, s)
4'-OCH <sub>3</sub>	3.81 (3H, s)	
Glc		
1″	4.72 (1H, d, 7.6 Hz)	4.72 (1H, d, 7.7 Hz)
2″	3.51(1H, m)	3.56 (1H, m)
3″	3.43 (1H, m)	3.44.(1H, m)
4″	3.36 (1H, m)	3.37 (1H, m)
5″	3.34 (1H, m)	3.35 (1H, m)
6″	3.70 (1H, d, 11.8 Hz)	3.63
	3.92 (1H, d, 11.8 Hz)	3.91
Ara/Api		
1‴ -	4.12 (1H, d, 6.7 Hz)	4.93 (1H, d, 1.4 Hz)
2‴	3.45 (1H, m)	3.85 (1H, d, 1.4 Hz)
3‴	3.32 (1H, m)	
4‴	3.70 (1H, m)	3.71 (1H, d, 10.0 Hz),
		3.78 (1H, d, 10.0 Hz)
5‴	3.45 (1H, m)	3.53 (2H, s)
	3.80 (1H, m)	

paratus and were uncorrected. Optical rotations were determined on a Jasco DIP-370 digital polarimeter. CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco J 715 spectropolarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8435 spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FTIR spectrometer. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX-400 spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 100 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. 2D NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance DRX-500 operating at 500 MHz using standard pulse programs and acquisition parameters. HRESIMS were measured on a Bruker-Magnex BioAPEX 30es ion cyclotron high-resolution HPLC-FT spectrometer by direct injection into an electrospray interface. Silica gel (40  $\mu$ m, J. T. Baker) and RP silica gel (RP-18, 40  $\mu$ m, J. T. Baker) were used for lowpressure chromatography. HPLC was performed using an ODS column (Phenomenex, Prodigy ODS prep, 21.2 mm i.d. imes 250 mm, 10  $\mu$ m). TLC was performed on Si gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> (EM Science) using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (4:1, solvent A), toluene/EtOAc/MeOH (4: 1:1, solvent B), and CHCl<sub>3</sub>/EtOAc (6:1, solvent C) or reversedphase KC<sub>18</sub> F Si gel 60 (Whatman) using MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (70:30, solvent D). The detailed procedures for the bioassays are described in a previous paper.1

**Plant Material.** *Miconia trailii* (twig, leaf) used in this study was collected by Manuel Rimachi in Loreto, Peru, in June of 1999 and identified by Sydney McDaniel. A voucher specimen is on deposit at the Herbarium of Mississippi State University (voucher #IBE 12272).

Extraction and Isolation. The dried powdered plant material (370 g) was extracted by percolation with 95% EtOH (3000 mL  $\times$  3). The extracts were combined and concentrated in vacuo to dryness (10.1 g,  $IC_{50}$  90  $\mu\text{g/mL}).$  Part of the ethanolic extract (9.05 g) was chromatographed over a silica gel column (360 g) and eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (9:1, 4:1, 2:1, and 0:1, each 1000 mL) to afford six fractions: A (0.1 g, 0-400 mL), B (2.8 g, 400-800 mL), C (0.62 g, 800-1600 mL), D (0.8 g, 1600–2000 mL, IC<sub>50</sub> 120  $\mu$ g/mL), E (1.96 g, 2000– 2400 mL, IC  $_{50}$  40  $\mu g/mL),$  and F (2.80 g, 2400–4000 mL). Part of the most active fraction, E (1.07 g), was rechromatographed over a silica gel column (60 g) eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (4:1, 2:1, and 0:1, each 500 mL) to give E1 (26.6 mg, 0-135 mL), E2 (191.0 mg, 135-300 mL), E<sub>3</sub> (60.0 mg, 300-465 mL), and E<sub>4</sub> (792.0 mg, 465–1500 mL). Further fractionation of fraction E<sub>3</sub> using a low-pressure ODS column (15 g) and washing with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (60:40, 150 mL) afforded 2 (1.2 mg, 50-100 mL)

and a mixture (33 mg, 100-150 mL). The mixture was further purified by silica PTLC using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (4:1) to yield 1 (25 mg) and 3 (3.3 mg).

Part of fraction D (635 mg) was further purified on a silica gel column (60 g) and eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (9:1, 4:1, 0:1, each 500 mL) to afford D1 (150 mg, 0-425 mL), D2 (137 mg, 425-525 mL), 1 (30 mg, 525-625 mL), and D<sub>3</sub> (340 mg, 625-1500 mL). Part of fraction D<sub>2</sub> (100 mg) was rechromatographed using a low-pressure ODS column (30 g) and eluting with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (50:50, 80:20, 0:100, each 200 mL) to give D<sub>4</sub> (58 mg, 200–400 mL). Fraction D<sub>4</sub> was further purified by a lowpressure ODS column (20 g) and washing with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (60: 40, 120 mL) to afford 5 (2 mg, 10-20 mL), 6 (5 mg, 70-80 mL), and 7 (7.5 mg, 40–50 mL).

Part of the less polar fraction B (1.0 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (50 g) eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (1:0, 1:1, 0:1, each 350 mL) to give fraction B<sub>1</sub> (80 mg, 175-315 mL). Refractionation of B<sub>1</sub> using a low-pressure ODS column (15 g) and washing with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (95:5, 100:0, each 120 mL) afforded B<sub>2</sub> (20 mg, 40-200 mL) and B<sub>3</sub> (7 mg, 200-240 mL). Compound 4 (20 mg) was crystallized from fraction B<sub>2</sub>, and 8 (3 mg) was obtained from fraction  $B_3$  by preparative TLC (Si gel, toluene/EtOAc, 9:1).

The structures of the known compounds (3-8) were identified by comparison of their spectral data with literature values.

**Miconioside A (1):** yellowish amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{22}$  $-18.9^{\circ}$  (c 0.33, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 206 (3.33), 230 (3.31), 282 (3.06), 360 (2.44) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3411, 1633, 1513, 1479, 1344, 1249, 1170, 1122, 1058, 1033 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (c 0.41  $\times$  10  $^{-3}$  M, MeOH) [ $\theta]_{251}$  + 2946 (max), [ $\theta]_{289}$  – 20493 (max),  $[\theta]_{353}$  + 6196 (max); <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRESIMS m/z 631.2032 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>14</sub>-Na, 631.1997); *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.33 and 0.21 (Si gel, solvents A and B), 0.43 (reversed-phase KC18 F, solvent D).

**Miconioside B (2):** yellowish amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$  $-23.2^{\circ}$  (*c* 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 206 (3.43), 230 (3.15), 282 (3.08), 362 (2.49) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3413, 1632, 1513, 1445, 1343, 1248, 1170, 1121, 1060, 1034 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CD (c  $0.42 \times 10^{-3}$  M, MeOH) [ $\theta$ ]<sub>251</sub> + 1091 (max), [ $\theta$ ]<sub>289</sub> - 7703 (max),  $[\theta]_{354}$  + 3521 (max); <sup>13</sup> C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (Tables 1 and 2); HRESIMS m/z 617.1879 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Na, 617.1846); R<sub>f</sub> 0.17 and 0.13 (Si gel, solvents A and B), 0.62 (reversed-phase KC18 F, solvent D).

 $2\alpha$ ,  $3\beta$ ,  $19\alpha$ -Trihydroxyolean-12-ene-24, 28-dioic acid (5): colorless amorphous powder; mp 314–315 °C;  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$  +58° (c 0.2 MeOH) (lit.<sup>13</sup> mp 318 °C;  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$  + 118°); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ 3413, 2933, 1692, 1459, 1384, 1269, 1045 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>-OD) & 0.90 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 0.94 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-29), 1.01 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.02 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-25), 1.30 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.37 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>-23), 2.75 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 3.23 (1H, br s, H-18), 3.28 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz, H-19), 4.08 (1H, m, H-2), 5.33 (1H, br s, H-12); <sup>13</sup>CNMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 187.0 (C-28), 184.8 (C-24), 146.4 (C-13), 124.6 (C-12), 85.7 (C-3), 83.9 (C-19), 70.3 (C-2), 58.9 (C-5), 51.2 (C-4), 49.1 (C-9), 48.9 (C-1<sup>a</sup>), 48.2 (C-17), 46.7 (C-18), 43.2 (C-14), 41.1 (C-8), 40.2 (C-10), 36.5 (C-20), 35.1 (C-16<sup>b</sup>), 35.0 (C-7<sup>b</sup>), 30.6 (C-21), 30.2 (C-15), 29.7 (C-22), 29.4

(C-29), 26.4 (C-23), 26.0 (C-30), 25.5 (C-11), 25.4 (C-27), 22.3 (C-6), 18.6 (C-26), 16.1 (C-25); Rf 0.45 and 0.25 (Si gel, solvents A and B), 0.88 (reversed-phase KC18 F, solvent D). <sup>a</sup>Signal was overlapped by solvent, and <sup>b</sup>data may be interchangeable.

Acid Hydrolysis of 1. Compound 1 and the sugar authentic samples (glucose and arabinose) were spotted on a silica gel TLC plate and hydrolyzed in situ by exposure to HCl vapor at 70 °C for 25 min. The TLC plate was then developed with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (14:6:2:1) and sprayed with 10% H<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub> for detection. Glucose and arabinose were detected with  $R_f$  values of 0.19 and 0.23, respectively, while matteucinol (4) was detected with an  $R_f$  value of 0.62.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Dr. Chuck Dunbar for HRESIMS analyses, and Mr. Frank Wiggers for running gradient HMQC and HMBC NMR (500 MHz) experiments. This work was supported in part by the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service Specific Cooperative Agreement No. 58-6408-7-012, and by NCDDG Grant #5 RO1 CA 88456-02.

#### **References and Notes**

- Li, X.-C.; Joshi, A. S.; ElSohly, H. N.; Khan, S. I.; Jacob, M. R.; Zhang, Z. Z.; Khan, I. A.; Ferreira, D.; Walker, L. A.; Broedel, S. E.; Raulli, R. E.; Cihlar, R. L. *J. Nat. Prod.*, accepted.
- Shultes, R. E.; Raffauf, R. F. The Healing Forest. Medicinal and Toxic Plants of the Northwest Amazonia; Dioscorides Press: Potland, OR,
- 1992; pp 297–298.
  (3) Chan, W. R.; Sheppard, V.; Medford, K. A.; Tinto, W. F. J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 963–966.
- (4) Macari, P. A. T.; Emerenciano, V. de P.; Ferreira, Z. M. G. S. Quim. Nova 1990, 13, 260-262.
- Miles, D. H.; Meideros, J.; Chen, L.; Chittawong, V.; Swithenbank, C.; Lidert, Z.; Payne, M.; Hedin, P. A. ACS Symp. Ser. **1991**, *449* (Nat. Occurring Pest Bioregul.), 399–406.
- (6) Li, X.-C.; Jacob, M. R.; Pasco, D. S.; ElSohly, H. N.; Nimrod, A. C.; Walker, L. A.; Clark, A. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2001, *64*, 1282–1285.
  (7) Bernays, E.; Lupi, A.; Bettolo, R. M.; Mastrofrancesco, C.; Tagliatesta, P. *Experientia* 1984, *40*, 1010–1011.
  (8) Telebacht H. Vierte, S. M.; M. J. M. J. K. S. M. (2001).
- Takahashi, H.; Hirata, S.; Minami, H.; Fukuyama, Y. Phytochemistry (8)
- (b) Fakanasin, Fr., Finada, S., Minani, F., Fukuyana, T. Phytochemistry **2001**, *56*, 875–879.
  (9) Basnet, P.; Kadota, S.; Shimizu, M.; Xu, H. X.; Namba, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 1790–1795.
  (10) Basnet, P.; Kadota, S.; Hase, K.; Namba, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1995**, *10*, 100 (1997).
- 43, 1558-1564.
- (11) Rao, G. S. R. S.; Prasanna, S.; Kumar, V. P. S.; Yadagiri, B. Indian J. Chem. 1986, 25B, 113-122.
- (12) Araujo, F. W. L.; Souza, M. P.; Filho, R. B. J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 1436-1440. (13)
- Shao, Y.; Zhou. B. N.; Lin, L. Z.; Cordell, G. A. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, *38*, 1487–1492. (14) Seto, T.; Tanaka, T.; Tanaka, O.; Naruhashi, N. Phytochemistry 1984,
- 23, 2829-2834.
- (15) Tsai, P. L.; Wang, J. P.; Chang, C. W.; Kuo, S. C.; Chao, P. D. L. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, *49*, 1663–1666.
  (16) Gaspar, E. M. M.; Neves, H. J. C. D. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *34*, 523–
- 527. Li, X.-C.; Yang, C.-R.; Yang, L.-Q.; Nohara, T. Nat. Med. 1995, 49, (17)
- 312-316.
- (18) Gaffield, W. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 4093-4108.
- (19) Rezanka, T.; Guschina, I. A. Phytochemistry 2000, 54, 635-645.

#### NP020429Z